

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/738,389	DETAR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jesse Diller	2187	

All Participants:

(1) Jesse Diller.

(2) Melissa Haapala (Reg. 47,622).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 18 April 2006

Time: 11:45 a

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner noted the apparent allowability of the application over the prior art, and also noted invalid IDS references and a double patenting existing between 10/7388,946 and 10/738,389. The Attorney indicated a TD and corrected IDS would be filed.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)